

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,715	COOK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Szperka	1644	

All Participants:

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) Bennett Berson.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103, obviousness type double patenting

Claims discussed:

29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Szperka/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

Status of Application: appeal brief filed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant that the rejections of claim 29 would be withdrawn and that if applicant wished to amend all claims to contain the limitations of claim 29 the application could proceed to allowance. Applicant agreed to the changes as are detailed in the accompanying examiner's amendment.

/Michael Szperka/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644.